



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/565,678	01/24/2006	Hiroshi Nakamura	284303US0PCT	2912
22850	7590	02/14/2008		
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314				
EXAMINER				
MORRIS, PATRICIA L				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1625				
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
02/14/2008		ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com

oblonpat@oblon.com

jgardner@oblon.com

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	Application No. 10/565,678 Examiner Patricia L. Morris	Applicant(s) NAKAMURA ET AL. <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <th style="padding: 2px 5px;">Art Unit</th> <th style="width: 20%;"></th> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 2px 5px;">1625</td> <td></td> </tr> </table>	Art Unit		1625	
Art Unit						
1625						

All Participants: **Status of Application:** _____
 (1) Patricia L. Morris. (3) _____.
 (2) Mr. Pereira (Office). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 5 January 2008 **Time:** _____

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed: _____

Claims discussed: _____

Prior art documents discussed: _____

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Left message that no response has received in reply to the Office action mailed 7/12/07.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Patricia L. Morris/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1625

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)